The Shadow Magic Show: Canada is a Corporation

Cal Washington’s memoirs adapted for the stage

"All is privilege conceded by the State: your car, your house, your profession, in short your life; and what the state gives (you), it can take back (from you) if you’re not a docile taxpayer." Pierre-André Paré, former Deputy Minister at the Quebec Department of Revenue before a commission of the National Assembly of Quebec. Published by Le Devoir, April 6, 1996.) [Council Order 863-96, July 10, 1996 - https://bit.ly/2FmRcif]

"The discretion of a judge is said to be the law of tyrants. It is always unknown. It is different in different men. It is casual and depends upon constitution, temper and passion. In the best, it is sometimes caprice. In the worst, it is every vice, folly and passion to which human nature is liable."
Justice Charles Pratt, Lord Camden (1714-1794) (Emphasis added)

Ladies and Gentlemen, Look! Look!
Que the band.
Draw the curtains.
And let the Shadow Magic begin!

![UK Royals Coat of Arms, motto: "God and my right" (dieu et mon droit)](image)

**ACT ONE**

*(THE ROYAL CHARTER)*

*Smoke and mirrors and Rupert's Land Act appears*
In 1670, King Charles II of England formed a charter for a corporation, called "The Governor and Company of Adventurers of England Trading in the Hudson Bay". It would later be called the Hudson Bay Company or HBC. The charter comprised 40% of what is now the Canadian landmass, and included much of what is currently the U.S. states of Minnesota and North Dakota.

http://www.solon.org/Constitutions/Canada/English/PreConfederation/hbc_charter_1670.html
Charles II King of England, (Scotland, France, and Ireland and Defender of the Faith)
The Royal Charter for incorporating The Hudson's Bay Company, A.D. 1670.

http://www.solon.org/Constitutions/Canada/English/PreConfederation/hbc_charter_1670.html http://www hbcheritage.ca/ things/artifacts/the-royal-charter
3,861,400 square kilometers (1,490,900 square miles)

King Charles II signed the Hudson Bay Charter over to his German cousin, Prince Rupert of the Rhine, and seventeen of Rupert's associates known collectively as "The Company of Adventurers".

The deed was in the name of the monarch, fully and forever, for all heirs in perpetual succession. It can never be revoked.

Rupert held the rights to "sole trade and commerce" of this enormous land. King Charles II called his cousin and the 17 associates the "true and absolute Lords and Proprietors" of what would now be referred to as Rupert's Land.
Hudson Bay Company Coat of Arms, motto: "a skin for a skin"
Hocus Pocus Fishbones Chokus!

Never mind the millions of people whose ancestor's, successors and heirs, already inhabited the land, the Native People, plus the settlers, all of whom would come to despise and loathe the ruthless Hudson Bay Company. The charter's partners ran into their forts and locked up with their cannons in order to stay protected from those on the outside, who hated this company.
Prince Rupert
The Rupert's Land Charter controlled 100% of all resources of the land, the fur trade, forestry, minerals, the waterways, and everything else. It was a full-on monopoly, plus, they could make their own laws and enforce them. And all members of the HBC had to take oaths back to the King and / or Queen, as they still do today.
Rupert's Land Map
No one knows what the actual deal was between King Charles and Prince Rupert, and we are only given a cryptic clue which sounds more like an inside joke. We are told that in exchange for the deed, the Hudson Bay Company owed the British Royals two beaver pelts and two elk heads yearly. One can imagine what one likes, but it might have meant that King Charles II was getting a cut of the profits. Symbolically, the two beaver pelts, and two elk heads might have been a way of saying this business "fleeces them, decapitates them, and mounts their heads like trophies".
The Hudson Bay Company ran like this for 200 years.
The company allowed courts and all the trappings of a regular country, but it was actually a for-profit corporation, called the Hudson Bay Company. Everything came down to paying fees and penalties, using the corporate court system to uphold laws and make money.
Native Man

Everything was controlled by the HBC because they had the biggest guns and an arsenal of bio weapons including diseases and liquor. Anything the common men and women wanted to do, they had to go through the company, such as putting their canoes in the bay. You had to pay to travel the bay. Settlers could not trade with the Natives, and if they did, they were fined.
calendar-gallery-1926-governors-inspection--square.jpg
Let the curtains close to prepare for the next act and let us reflect on what we have seen. What it was then — it is now. Canada actually operates under this perpetual charter but the royals, and the magicians who work for them, make believe that elected officials are enacting laws. The same system is still in place.
The key solution, or the way to contest this back then — as it is now — is to refute any law that is repugnant to Common Law, or the Bible. The kings and queens have sworn an oath on the 1611 King James Bible to be "defenders of the faith" so whatever contracts they have entered into they must not be repugnant. But if they are, and everyone still agrees to uphold them, the royals are exonerated.
What was meant to be checks and balances on the laws, had been ignored. The Kings and Queens did whatever they wanted, **so long as no one complained.** If men and women **don't object, they agree,** and the Hudson Bay Company got away with it for 250 years, i.e. from 1670 till 1920.
Then one day, in the 1860's, the monarchs back in England said to themselves, these HBC people have a country where they can do whatever they want and make as much money as they want, and all they give us in return is a nebulous gift. King Charles was never able to get out of it; it was a contract that he had signed, and he took an oath on the 1611 King James Bible to uphold his contracts.
This was no way for royals to do business . . . poof!

The magicians were at work building a new illusion.

ACT TWO

(THE BRITISH NORTH AMERICA ACT)

A body is sawed in two and then put back together again with the BNA Act of 1867.

Izzy Wizzy- let's get busy!
In 1866 - 1867 the then ruling monarch, Queen Victoria, had a plan to get the charter back from the Hudson Bay Company. She joined the provinces of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick with Upper Canada and Lower Canada, added a railroad and formed a dominion called British North America by passing an act. This act was called the British North America Act (BNA Act).

The 1867 BNA Act formed a British dominion, called British North America.
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British North America Act 1867

1867 CHAPTER 3 30 and 31 Vict

An Act for the Union of Canada, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick, and the Government thereof; and for Purposes connected therewith.

[29th March 1867]

Whereas the provinces of Canada, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick have expressed their Desire to be federally united into One Dominion under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, with a Constitution similar in Principle to that of the United Kingdom:

And whereas such a Union would conduce to the Welfare of the Provinces and promote the Interests of the British Empire:

And whereas on the Establishment of the Union by Authority of Parliament it is expedient, not only that the Constitution of the Legislative Authority in the Dominion be provided for, but also that the Nature of the Executive Government therein be declared:

And whereas it is expedient that Provision be made for the eventual Admission into the Union of other Parts of British North America:

Be it therefore enacted and declared by the Queen's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the Advice and Consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the Authority of the same, as follows:

BNA Act 1867
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map 1867
ACT THREE
(REPATRIATION OF THE RUPERT’S LAND ACT)

And now, ladies and gentlemen, the two parts of the body will be rejoined into one!

Sim Sala Bim!

Recapitulation: there were two corporate entities running side by side, the dominion, British North America (Canada) and the Hudson Bay Company. Next, Queen Victoria demanded the Hudson Bay Charter back. By making a "country" called British North America, the queen forced the HBC to be admitted into the newly formed country.
Now the **HBC Charter was given back to Her Majesty**, for the price of 12 million pounds. Victoria got her piece of paper but the people of the land had to pay for it, as usual. Some things never change.

31 July 1868, HBC had to **surrender their charter** to the queen, and her majesty’s successors and heirs in perpetuity.

Rupert's Land Act, 1868, 31-32 Vict., c. 105 (U.K.)

So, the people of Canada who paid for the charter did not get it. The queen and her heirs got it.
Canadian Coat of Arms
ACT FOUR

(STATUTE LAW REVISION ACT)

On June 9th 1893, queen Victoria pulls a rabbit out of her hat and un-enacts the BNA Act, 26 years after she had formed it.

Uju Buju Suck Another Juju!
Beaver skin top hat courtesy the HBC

The rabbit (or the country now called Canada) which Queen Victoria pulled out of her hat 26 years ago hopped away! The BNA Act disappeared!
The dominion of British North America was removed by the queen with her Statute Law Revision Act of 1893, but no one knew what she had done. Even today, no one knows, except insiders.

Statute Law Revision Act, 1893 - Enactment No. 3
http://www.solon.org/Constitutions/Canada/English/slra_1893.html

Let the curtains fall so we can have an intermission and reflect on what it means that
there is no Act, no Parliament, no Constitution, no *de jure* laws.

The current queen, Elizabeth II, does NOT sign the laws into being in British Columbia, Canada. Cal Washington discovered that the Lieutenant Governor, who represents the queen, also does NOT sign the laws into being. Zip! Nothing! Its all a grand illusion.

The **Lieutenant Governor of British Columbia** is the viceregal representative of the Canadian monarch, Queen Elizabeth II. This office was created in 1871, and has served
the crown ever since.

The Lieutenant Governor from 2001 - 2007, was Iona Campagnolo. She was very wise and she made a statement in one of her speeches, where she removed herself from liability. She admitted that she did not sign any laws, and neither did the queen whom she represented. Why? **Because the laws are repugnant to Common Law and the Bible.**

**Voila!**
Here is what Iona Campagnolo, Lieutenant Governor said:

“As Head of State, I have the same mandate as Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth, the Queen of Canada; that is to say, apart from a single reserve power to sustain democratic governance . . . NONE!

. . .Thus Her Majesty is our head of State, while the Governor General is the defacto Head of State, and neither is in command of Provincial or Territorial jurisdiction!

.... A Head of State however is the CEO of the Province and is required to sign all state documents in the name of the People to render them into law.”

But neither Iona Campagnolo, nor the queen sign them!

Abracadabra!

There are NO *de jure* laws in B.C. Canada.
Speech of Iona Campagnolo, while Lieutenant Governor of British Columbia

Order and Good Government. It is said of our two peoples that while an American will always prefer Liberty above all else, a Canadian will invariably choose "ORDER" over Liberty!

As Head of State, I have the same mandate as Her Majesty, Queen Elizabeth, the Queen of Canada; that is to say, apart from a single reserve power to sustain democratic governance … NONE! Canada is an autonomous and fully sovereign Nation, but our national destiny remains firmly in the hands of the men and women who are the electors in our free society. Queen Elizabeth Herself has never interfered, nor may she interfere with the Constitution of the Realm. But Her Majesty is the Head of State, and we have a tradition of fealty to the Crown. Thus Her Majesty is our Head of State, while the Governor General is the defacto Head of State, and neither is in command of Provincial or Territorial jurisdiction! Thus each de-facto Representative of the Head of State for our jurisdictions embodies the sovereignty of our own areas of responsibility. My salary is paid by except where legally defined, an appointed L.G. is obliged to concur with democratically elected government’s Policy Decision-making. A Head of State however is the CEO of the Province and is required to sign all state documents in the name of the People to render them into Law.
Now the curtains suddenly malfunction and come crashing down on the Shadow Magic Show, sending the magicians scurrying and the stage hands scrambling to get things righted. Cal Washington will take over with a personal story.

When he was in court, challenging the legal "name game" (the strawman/legal fictitious name, manifested by the issuance of the state's birth certificate after the child's physical birth), the judge was rolling his eyes as Cal stated his case. Then Cal started reading the words by Iona Campagnolo, that related to the fact that she did not sign the laws and neither did the queen.
Suddenly, the judge put his hands up and cried: "Stop! We have to take a break!"

The prosecuting lawyer said: "I don't even understand what this is all about!"

The judge replied: "I think he's challenging the jurisdiction of the court."

Cal thought about the words of Iona Campagnolo and pondered, "How can someone admit they have no power and then sign the documents into law?" He assumed she was signing them. He found out later that she was not; a clerk of the court was signing them.

That is when Cal realized Iona Campagnolo was a very smart, no nonsense lady, who was exonerating herself from the whole scam and any liability. What she said in her speech was, "I am supposed to be signing these documents into law, but I am not, and therefore there is no law."

The judge knew this but Cal didn't know the full story at the time. Cal never saw that judge again, even though Cal was in court twice a month for many years. He assumed the judge retired, for the judge had been "seized of the case" when Cal had given testimony inside the penalty box (SINners box). So, there was no way out for that judge and he most likely retired or quit.
Why is the queen not signing the laws? Because, **they are repugnant to Common Law and the Bible**. The queen’s representative, Iona Campagnolo was not signing them either. So, tax laws are not tax laws, **they only exist inside the Hudson Bay Charter jurisdiction. The minute you step into Common Law jurisdiction, or a higher jurisdiction, they don’t apply.** The full liability would land on the Queen and Lieutenant Governor in Commerce Law jurisdiction.

The curtains have been secured and the Shadow Magic Show has been restored so on to the next act!
ACT FIVE
(REPATRIATION OF THE CONSTITUTION)

Hahchah Mahchah!
Watch this, kiddo!

Pierre Trudeau
A NEW MAGICIAN CALLED PIERRE TRUDEAU WAS ON THE STAGE and ready to duel with the current queen, Elizabeth II of England.

Trudeau, the 15th Prime Minister of Canada, tried to revive the BNA Act, in an effort to give Canada status as a country with a (legitimate) parliament and a Constitution (de
jure), and the ability to make its own laws (de jure) and govern itself.

The problem was, he had to call the act by a different name, because the BNA Act had been repealed by Queen Victoria and that made it impossible to revivify. There was no mechanism to restore the BNA Act, because the BNA Act created the parliament and the parliament had been virtually dissolved, so they couldn’t even pass an act to create themselves! The Queen’s magicians had the more powerful magic words, but Trudeau did not give up.

Trudeau decided to name his trick the **Constitution Act of 1982**, adding in a Charter and Bill of Rights and freedoms.

Charter of Rights and Freedoms:
http://www.solon.org/Constitutions/Canada/English/ca_1982.html
Pierre Trudeau
Trudeau’s “honorary” Coat of Arms, a house with no roof! These logos tell a lot more than you think. . .

ACT SIX

(QUEEN ELIZABETH’S PROCLAMATION)

In response to Trudeau’s Constitution Act, the queen pulled, and is still pulling, a string of scarves from her sleeve. Scarves and more scarves many miles long as the queen smiles confidently. This is perhaps the most clever trick of all . . .
Doo-Dee Doo-dee Doo-dee!

PROCLAMATION of April 17, 1982,

Queen Elizabeth II
Of particular interest is this sentence from the queen's proclamation:

"Now Know You that We, by and with the advice Our Privy Council for Canada, do by this Our Proclamation, declare that the Constitution Act, 1982 shall, subject to section 59 thereof, come into force on the seventeenth day of April in the Year of Our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eighty-two."

Section 59 of the Constitution of 1882
Section 23 "Minority Education Rights"

The operative words here are “subject to section 59” (of the Constitution Act). Now section 59 defers to section 23 (of the Constitution Act), and what is 23? It is the language issue. So, the people of Quebec would have to agree that in their province, children may be educated in any language that their parents desire. In Quebec, this is never going to fly. They will not sign off on a language issue and the Queen knew it. So section 59 / 23 is the condition for which the Constitution of 1982 will come into force and effect!
And that did not happen. The Queen’s magic words are the strongest of all. So, there the Constitution sat. Canadians tried twice to solve this condition, at the Meech Lake Accord of 1987 and the Charlottetown Accord of 1992. Both failed.

No matter what anyone says about Canada’s Constitution, it is sitting in limbo subject to section 59. Section 59 says, when 23 is satisfied, there has to be another Proclamation and this section has to be not only repealed, but disappeared.

Ta-daa!

https://public.3.basecamp.com/p/Ao51VFmU9GS8RPJDMF8W10h7?fbclid=IwAR0qB1Q7HCAvecNQDHc-7e25z1h6UIjnuKs8ILeMzRQN6BddHf2M2YQRiEA 51/71
All that Trudeau did, does not come into effect, until section 59 has been satisfied, so that takes us back to the Hudson Bay Charter. And the one who owns the Hudson Bay Charter is Queen Elizabeth II, or someone in her family. When she mentions the word "Canada" in her proclamation, she is not talking about a country, she is talking about the Hudson Bay Corporation. Whoever owns the Royal Charter corporation, owns all of the resources, licensing fees, fines, and taxes extorted from the men and women of Canada.
“Now Know You... Constitution Act, 1982 shall, subject to section 59 thereof, come into force on the seventeenth day of April in the Year of Our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eighty-two.”

The 1982 Constitution with its Bill of Rights never came into force.

That is why, when you go into court and try to assert your freedom rights by way of the Constitution, they love it. They know that the constitution does not exist and you just gave them jurisdiction.

Image Gallery:  http://data2.archives.ca/misc/txt/23v886k.jpg

The monarchs perceived that if this magic trick were exposed, it might prove too inflammatory. So, the royal magicians have been trying to change the name and connotations of the BNA / Constitution Act going all the way back to 1867. They want to
pretend like the 1867 BNA Act was never there! And this new Constitution Act in 1982 has always been here, but it has not.

Another interesting part of the Queen’s Proclamation is this:

“Of All Which Our Loving Subjects and all other whom these Presents may concern are hereby required to take notice and to govern themselves accordingly.”

She is talking to two sets of people here, “my subjects” and “all others” who are not my subjects. You are free to not be my subject. You, who are outside my subjects, and still want to interact with this document, “whom these Presents may concern”, you must govern yourselves accordingly.

This document tells where Canada actually is. Until section 59 is satisfied, there are no laws, no government, no acts, no parliament, and no legislature. All there is, is whatever they can get with their Hudson Bay Charter.

**Happis Crapis! This show is complete!**
THE ILLUSION vs A HIGHER AND DIVINE JURISDICTIONS

Now it is time for the after-show party, with Cal Washington, who will explain how the illusions in this shadow show work. First of all, he understood the court’s boundaries concerning the Queen’s Proclamation of 1982.

Cal: *I’ve been in court and when I’ve said these things* [mentioned the fact that this proclamation made all the laws nonexistent], the judge would just stare at me, not knowing what to say. They just want to get out of there, because at the end of the day, if there are no laws, how can the judge fine you or send you to jail?

In the states, you would say, "What is your jurisdiction over me?" *It is a writ in Common Law, where the judge has to prove it. Quo Warranto is a writ that says by what authority are you doing this?* This writ goes way back, it is ancient, instituted long before people could travel quickly. As the Commonwealth realm spread, anyone could go into a new area and say, "I am the new Lord, and you have to pay me taxes." How would you know if it were true? And the King would not want this to happen either because he wouldn’t get his cut. Enter "Quo Warranto", where the King would actually be a nominal plaintiff on this, making sure that the Lord had the seal of the King and was the real deal.
So, you can do a writ: "By what authority are you operating?" First, explain in that writ that the Queen has un-enacted the BNA Act, and the Constitution Act of 1982 is subject to section 59 and still has not been satisfied. Then say, "By what authority are you operating?" and this requires the person to whom it is directed to show what authority they have for exercising some right or power they claim to hold. Quo Warranto. The Hudson bay Charter is the only thing they can come up with, and most of the judges don't know about it.

No matter what you are trying to do, go with the higher truth, and ask them to just leave you alone.

Over the years, Cal had tried just about everything in court. Nothing really worked. He had a little bit of success, but he came to realize, “it is what it is” and he had to get in there and learn what was really going on. When Cal got into Commerce, that’s when things really started to change and he began to put bonds on cases, and learn the truth through trial and error. He kept doing the things that worked, and stopped doing the things that didn’t work. Cal studied the history to learn what was going on and what has not happened.
Cal: As far as pension plans and benefits, if you do not recognize Canada as a country, you must waive your benefits and give them up. When you go for the benefits, you waive your rights. They don’t tell you that, but that’s how it works. It’s an agreement. Each person has to decide.

Internationally, all corporations are registered under the Uniform Commercial Code, UCC. The UCC is a modern version of Law Merchant. Law Merchant are ancient customs that are still operating today. Contract Law has to give full disclosure, but you have to demand disclosure, they are not going to do it for you. The agents of the Queen are ignorant of most of this information, by design, to protect the Queen. Ignorance of the law is no excuse even for the agents, but this is the way it is. If you are on the phone trying to have a discussion with them, they won’t know what you are talking about. You can try to educate them, but if they do not stop whatever they are doing that is bugging you, tell them you will go above them to the queen. If you do go to the queen and use
the wrong historical examples, she will just ignore you.


For instance, everyone sites the Statute of Westminster, but if you read it, it tries to reverse the idea that laws can be considered repugnant. It states that the laws no longer have to be, “not repugnant to Common Law”. I would never site that Act because they are trying to get out of a very important clause in the Hudson Bay Charter. The key is to use the correct historical examples.

When in Canadian courts, do NOT site the wrong Acts, because that propels the fiction. The judge gleefully thinks, “Oh, they believe that!” and he will pull you into his fraudulent jurisdiction. The judges love so-called Constitutional arguments! They get excited when they hear that word, because they can use the fraudulent Constitution to pull you into that fiction. They are happy about it. Tricks!

When you recite their fraudulent Acts, you are now an agent of the country, and you give the judge jurisdiction to find you guilty. There is a point of view of what
reality is (the truth) and they keep you mired in a different reality (the fiction), so they have control over you. When you go to a higher jurisdiction, this game **doesn't** matter. If you have the correct historical answers, you pull the argument into a higher jurisdiction.

When you know what happened in the Shadow magic Show of 1670, 1867, 1893, and 1982, you have the knowledge to pull the judge into a higher jurisdiction.

Cal: Often, a judge will ask the accused if they agree with a fine or penalty. The smart judges ask for your agreement in the "contract" because they don't want to be held liable. **It's consensual.** The scrupulous judges know what is really going on and they don't know who this man or woman standing before them is, and who might be sitting in the audience, so they are very careful to get consent on everything. This way they will never be held liable.

A judge that does not get consent can be held liable with an Notice of Liability: "**By what authority have you penalized me?**" In Canada, the authority does not exist and you can actually show it. Unless section 59 has disappeared from the Act, there is no Constitution in Canada. **Fifty-nine has to be erased and a new proclamation made in order for the Constitution Act 1982 to come into force and effect.** It says it right there, very clearly, **there is no country!** When you say to the judges, "**You will be held liable**"
that is when they recuse themselves or quit.

Cal: People are so deep in their beliefs that this information throws them for a loop and it takes a while to sink in. Once it does, a lot of the puzzle pieces that did not make sense before, start to make sense. When you realize they are operating under this charter, it makes sense. It all becomes crystal clear. Hold your ground, and they stay away from you. It takes courage, conviction, and inner strength, to maintain your authority.
Even the architecture of the courthouse and courtrooms are built to impress power over people, and strip away their authority. The doors are heavy and the steps are awkward. The courthouse inside is constructed to get you out of your comfort zone. The pews are hard and uncomfortable. The judge wears a large black cape, and behind him on the wall are plaques, all creating the illusion that the judge has authority over you but he does not.
Court
Cal: True authority lies in higher jurisdictions. The monarchs know this and are afraid of this. **Kings and Queens have taken oaths** on the **1611 King James Bible** and to **Common Law**. They fear renunciation of their oaths. All versions of our Notice of Liability contain 1611 KJB verses. When I used verses from other bibles, they were ignored.
Cal: The monarchs are afraid of the “Watchers”. Verses from Enoch Chapter 20 explain who the Watchers are. Enoch is one of the books taken out of the Bible by the Roman Emperor Constantine at the Council of Nicea, 325 A.D.

**Daniel, Chapter IV, Verse 17**, [https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Daniel+4:17&version=AKJV](https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Daniel+4:17&version=AKJV) from the 1611 KJB, talks about the Watchers. This is a very powerful verse and the monarchs are afraid of it. If you go back and read the whole story about Daniel, from the beginning, you will find that he was gifted. Daniel was special...he was intuitive and quite smart. He was also a Hebrew slave. Daniel found some documents that had to do with his people’s slavery; it is not clear in the verses but that is on purpose as well; he figured out that their condition as slaves was to last for only 70 years and they were already past that benchmark.
He found the words that revealed the truth. As a result, Daniel somehow invoked the Watchers and because the Watchers were invoked, that's when the rulers had to let the Israelites go. And not only did they let them go, the rulers said, get them out of here as quickly as possible and open the treasury and give them all of the gold. So, something changed! They were slaves, and suddenly they were free, and wealthy, and the rulers were going to build them a temple in Jerusalem. Everything switched.

Cal: If Daniel can do it, we can do it, and that is what I have done. I have found some documents and it looks like this corporate system is a scam and the royals and officials
are faking, and I am calling them out in front of the Watchers, whoever they are. The Royals seem to be afraid of them. The Watchers are not invoked for protection per se, but apparently they are in one of those higher jurisdictions. I don't know what they are. It says in the Bible that they are Archangels, so what does that mean?

Because it is an old text, the witnesses would not have any point of reference for some of the technology we see today like airplanes, helicopters, and space ships, etc. So, could it be actual beings in a spacecraft? It doesn't matter as long as the monarchs are afraid of them. I want them on my side. It is a formula for some reason, this Bible, it has a lot of “how-to's” in it to help us get out of this. What happened in Israel is happening to us worldwide, and as individuals. If you want to get out of something as an individual, follow that plan, and if you want to get out of something worldwide, follow that plan.

Cal: Remember the UCC is a modern version of Law Merchant, ancient customs that are still operating today and there is nothing the kings and queens can do about it. Its their stuff! Especially in Canada, where you can undo all of their Acts.

I came before a judge who had beaten some of the gurus, the ones with freedom ideas. He was used as a means to crush them. He started in on me and went on and on about the BNA Act, "Section 9 and section 92 and section 3 . . . ." and he waved his hands around like "I know everything!".
I just waited until he stopped talking and I said, "Queen Victoria un-enacted that Act in 1893". That is all I said and I stood there quietly and we just stared at each other. He had nothing to say. He didn't even bring up 1982 because he was afraid of another slap in the face! He never answered.

Cal: It is all about jurisdictions. It is my strong opinion that the only jurisdiction the Queen has is under the Hudson Bay Charter. That is the only thing the royals have because it is perpetual and it belongs to the Queen.
The Royals

Cal: The Book of Enoch (Book of Watchers & Book of the Giants /Nephillim) http://scriptural-truth.com/images/BookOfEnoch.pdf and Genesis 6 https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/search=Daniel+4:17&version=AKJV talk about who the so-called royals are: those who fell from the sky and bred with the daughters of Adam. Their offsprings deemed themselves the royal elite. These are the banking families and the European Royals and they have always interbred within their circle. Their DNA was slightly different than those that fell, and it was also different than the daughters of Adam. These monarchs claim to have a peculiar DNA and they have all of the money and they are in all of the power positions, BUT, they do NOT have any authority!
In the end, it has to do with us and our souls and if we can change. Ultimately we decide. The royals are just using us as pawns to create a reality and we are following it, but if enough of us turn away, its over. Once we hit a critical mass...

Its over!